

































Comparison of Program Models

 Benefits
  Barriers

				
MODEL	Lottery	First-come, first-serve	Points	
ROLE OF REVIEW COMMITTEE	Determining baseline eligibility. Documentation verification.	Determining baseline eligibility. Final decisions on applicant funding.	Determining baseline eligibility. Awarding points based on rubric categories.	
SELECTION PROCESS	Selected via a random sorting process.	Selected on a first-come first-serve basis.	Selected based on total points.	
LOCAL OFFICIALS	Administrative burden	 Lower	 Lower	 High
	Documentation requirements & verification	 Less	 Less	 More
	Potential selection bias	 Little/None	 Less	 More
	Control over selection process	 None	 Little/None	 More. Can be used to address equity.
APPLICANTS	Turn-around time	 Faster	 Faster	 Likely longer (due to admin costs)
	Perception of fairness	 Appears less biased	 Appears less biased	 Appears more-biased
	Weighted toward underserved groups	 Only if lottery is weighted	 No	 Yes
	Time constraints and pressure to apply quickly	 High	 Highest	 High
	Knowledge gaps among applicants	 Both program process & documentation	 Both program process & documentation	 Both program process & documentation